

The Honorable Gene Green
2470 Rayburn
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Green,

Thank you for your letter dated June 10, 2014, regarding the San Jacinto River Waste Pits Superfund Site ("Site"), in which you recommended that the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") select Alternative 6N from the Draft Feasibility Study for the Site. Alternative 6N includes full removal of the contaminated material above the cleanup level. The EPA shares your concerns regarding the public health threat posed by the Site and will consider the issues you have raised as it evaluates the alternatives and makes the remedy selection for the Site.

The selection of the remedial action for the Site will be made by the EPA and documented in Record of Decision. The selection will be based on EPA's consideration of nine CERCLA criteria, including overall protection of human health and the environment; compliance with applicable or relevant and appropriate standards; long-term effectiveness and permanence; reduction of toxicity, mobility or volume; short-term effectiveness; implementability; cost; state acceptance; and community acceptance. The EPA also makes the remedy decision in consultation with the TCEQ and the Natural Resource Trustees, and in consideration of public comments received on the proposed remedial action.

The San Jacinto Site cleanup alternatives include several containment alternatives and several removal alternatives. In general, the removal alternatives would result in the greatest long-term reliability and protectiveness because the material would be removed from the San Jacinto River. However, the removal alternatives would also result in the greatest short-term risks because the waste material would be exposed to storm scour and erosion during the construction time of approximately 16 months (for Alternative 6N), and the dredging/excavation process would result in re-suspension and spreading of a small portion of the contaminated material. Best management practices would be used to minimize this release, however, it would still occur. For example, fish tissue concentrations typically increase for a time following environmental dredging projects. The EPA will carefully weigh these factors as part of the consideration of the nine CERCLA remedy selection criteria in selecting the final remedy for the Site.

We appreciate your interest in the Site, and hope that this letter helps to address your concerns.

Sincerely,

John Meyer,
Acting Associate Director,
Remedial Branch (6SF-R)